

# School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2009-10

Published During 2010-11

## Executive Summary School Accountability Report Card, 2009-10

### Ramona Middle School

Address: 3490 Ramona Ave. , San Dimas CA 91773-1437  
Principal: Anne Neal

Phone: 909-971-8260  
Grade Span: 6 - 8

This executive summary of the School Accountability Report Card (SARC) is intended to provide parents and community members with a quick snapshot of school accountability. The data presented in this report are reported for the 2009-10 school year, except the School Finances and School Completion data that are reported for the 2008-09 school year. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should review the entire SARC or contact the school principal or the district office.

### About This School

Ramona is one of fourteen schools in the Bonita Unified School District. It serves grades six, seven, and eight and is the only middle school in the city of La Verne. Our school provides a safe and positive learning environment where students are engaged in a rigorous, standards-based curriculum that prepares them for the next level of their education. The Ramona team, working in collaboration with our community, will prepare our students to become independent thinkers, continual learners, and productive citizens.

### Student Enrollment

Group	Percent
African American	3.08 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.22 %
Asian	3.52 %
Filipino	0.78 %
Hispanic or Latino	42.80 %
Pacific Islander	0.14 %
White (not Hispanic)	41.72 %
Multiple or No Response	7.75 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	24.00 %
English Learners	2.00 %
Students with Disabilities	11.00 %
<b>Total Number of Students</b>	<b>1395</b>

## Teachers

Indicator	Teachers
Teachers with full credential	50
Teachers without full credential	0
Teachers Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	5
Total Teacher Misassignments	5

## Student Performance

Subject	Students Proficient and Above on California Standards Tests
English-Language Arts	69%
Mathematics	60%
Science	81%
History-Social Science	72%

## Academic Progress

Indicator	Result
2010 Growth API Score (from 2010 Growth API Report)	852
Statewide Rank (from 2009 Base API Report)	9
2010-11 Program Improvement Status (PI Year)	Not in PI

## School Facilities

### Summary of Most Recent Site Inspection

*Site was found to be in good condition*

### Repairs Needed

*None at this time*

### Corrective Actions Taken or Planned

*None at this time*

## Curriculum and Instructional Materials

Core Curriculum Areas	Pupils Who Lack Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	0 %
Mathematics	0 %
Science	0 %
History-Social Science	0 %
Foreign Language	0 %
Health	N/A
Visual and Performing Arts	N/A

Science Laboratory Equipment (grades 9-12)	N/A
--	-----

### School Finances

Level	Expenditures Per Pupil (Unrestricted Sources Only)
School Site	\$3,645
District	\$5,227
State	\$5,681

### School Completion

*Not applicable at the middle school level.*

### Postsecondary Preparation

*Not applicable at the middle school level.*

### NAEP Reading, Grade 8

Level	Result
Average Scale Score - State	251
Average Scale Score - National	261
Achievement Level - Basic	41%
Achievement Level - Proficient	20%
Achievement Level - Advanced	2%

### NAEP Mathematics, Grade 8

Level	Result
Average Scale Score - State	270
Average Scale Score - National	282
Achievement Level - Basic	36%
Achievement Level - Proficient	18%
Achievement Level - Advanced	5%

# School Accountability Report Card Reported for School Year 2009-10 Published During 2010-11

The School Accountability Report Card (SARC), which is required by law to be published annually, contains information about the condition and performance of each California public school. More information about SARC requirements is available on the California Department of Education (CDE) [SARC](#) Web page. For additional information about the school, parents and community members should contact the school principal or the district office.

## I. Data and Access

### DataQuest

DataQuest is an online data tool located on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page that contains additional information about this school and comparisons of the school to the district, the county, and the state. Specifically, DataQuest is a dynamic system that provides reports for accountability (e.g., Academic Performance Index [API], Adequate Yearly Progress [AYP]), test data, enrollment, graduates, dropouts, course enrollments, staffing, and data regarding English learners).

### Internet Access

Internet access is available at public libraries and other locations that are publicly accessible (e.g., the California State Library). Access to the Internet at libraries and public locations is generally provided on a first-come, first-served basis. Other use restrictions include the hours of operation, the length of time that a workstation may be used (depending on availability), the types of software programs available on a workstation, and the ability to print documents.

## II. About This School

### Contact Information (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides the schools contact information.

School		District	
School Name	Ramona Middle	District Name	Bonita Unified
Street	3490 Ramona Ave.	Phone Number	909-971-8200
City, State, Zip	San Dimas , CA 91773-1437	Web Site	www.bonita.k12.ca.us
Phone Number	909-971-8260	Superintendent	Dr. Gary Rapkin
Principal	Anne Neal	E-mail Address	Rapkin@bonita.k12.ca.us
E-mail Address	Neal@bonita.k12.ca.us	CDS Code	19- 64329- 6011860

### School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2009-10)

School Description and Mission Statement (School Year 2009-10)

#### School Profile

Ramona is one of fourteen schools in the Bonita Unified School District. It serves grades six, seven, and eight and is the only middle school in the city of La Verne. The maximum student population in 2009-2010 was 1,395

**Ramona’s Vision Statement**

The Ramona Middle School team is committed to providing a safe and positive learning environment where students are engaged in a rigorous, standards-based curriculum that prepares them for the next level of their education. Ramona will be a place where students develop a desire to continue learning and gain the skills they need to function productively in society.

**Ramona’s Mission Statement**

Our mission is to create a safe and positive learning environment where students gain the skills necessary to be successful in a rapidly changing world. The Ramona team, working in collaboration with our community, will prepare our students to become independent thinkers, continual learners, and productive citizens.

## Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2009-10)

Opportunities for Parental Involvement (School Year 2009-10)

Ramona parents are involved in a variety of ways. Parents volunteer to help in the office, in classrooms, assist with fundraisers, chaperone field trips and in a variety of other ways. The Ramona PTA actively supports the school and coordinates many parent volunteer efforts. Additionally, parents have the opportunity to participate on the School Site Council.

## Student Enrollment by Grade Level (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the number of students enrolled in each grade level at the school.

Grade Level	Number of Students
Grade 6	437
Grade 7	453
Grade 8	505
<b>Total Enrollment</b>	<b>1395</b>

## Student Enrollment by Group (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of students enrolled at the school who are identified as being in a particular group.

Group	Percent of Total Enrollment
African American	3.08 %
American Indian or Alaska Native	0.22 %
Asian	3.52 %
Filipino	0.78 %
Hispanic or Latino	42.80 %
Pacific Islander	0.14 %
White (not Hispanic)	41.72 %

Multiple or No Response	7.75 %
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	24.00 %
English Learners	2.00 %
Students with Disabilities	11.00 %

### Average Class Size and Class Size Distribution (Secondary)

This table displays by subject area the average class size and the number of classrooms that fall into each size category (a range of total students per classroom).

Subject	2007-08			2008-09			2009-10					
	Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms			Avg. Class Size	Number of Classrooms		
		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+		1-22	23-32	33+
English	31.3	1	27	16	28.5	12	21	18	28.0	10	14	24
Mathematics	31.9	0	20	23	27.2	19	8	24	27.8	8	21	19
Science	33.4	0	10	33	30.8	5	14	26	31.1	1	15	27
Social Science	31.4	0	29	15	31.0	0	30	11	30.5	0	19	19

## III. School Climate

### School Safety Plan (School Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the school's comprehensive safety plan.

**The Ramona Safe Schools Plan addresses child abuse reporting, BUSD disaster plan, Ramona's disaster plan, the BUSD Suspension and Expulsion Policy, the BUSD Sexual Harassment Policy, the BUSD Dress and Grooming Policy, Ramona's Safe Ingress and Egress Policy, Ramona's Discipline Plan and guidelines and the Safety Plan Review & Revision Process.**

### Suspensions and Expulsions

This table displays the rate of suspensions and expulsions (the total number of incidents divided by the total enrollment) at the school and district levels for the most recent three-year period.

Rate	School			District		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
Suspensions	18.1	8.9	9.6	17.2	10.2	6.8
Expulsions	0.1	0.0	0.6	0.2	0.2	0.4

## IV. School Facilities

### School Facility Conditions and Planned Improvements (School Year 2010-11)

This section provides information about the condition of the school's grounds, buildings, and restrooms, and a description of any planned or recently completed facility improvements.

**A complete modernization funded by a local bond measure began Summer 2007. This includes all necessary school facility improvements. The Ramona modernization project was completed in the Fall of 2009. Ramona also completed an update to various outdoor areas on campus, including the installation of several new walkways and the addition of new landscaping around campus.**

## School Facility Good Repair Status (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays the results of the most recently completed school site inspection to determine the school facility's good repair status.

System Inspected	Repair Status				Repair Needed and Action Taken or Planned
	Exemplary	Good	Fair	Poor	
<b>Systems:</b> Gas Leaks, Mechanical/HVAC, Sewer	N/A	X			
<b>Interior:</b> Interior Surfaces	N/A	X			
<b>Cleanliness:</b> Overall Cleanliness, Pest/ Vermin Infestation	N/A	X			
<b>Electrical:</b> Electrical	N/A	X			
<b>Restrooms/Fountains:</b> Restrooms, Sinks/ Fountains	N/A	X			
<b>Safety:</b> Fire Safety, Hazardous Materials	N/A	X			
<b>Structural:</b> Structural Damage, Roofs	N/A	X			
<b>External:</b> Playground/School Grounds, Windows/ Doors/Gates/Fences	N/A	X			
<b>Overall Rating</b>	Good				N/A

## V. Teachers

### Teacher Credentials

This table displays the number of teachers assigned to the school with a full credential, without a full credential, and those teaching outside of their subject area of competence. Detailed information about teacher qualifications can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Teachers	School			District
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2009-10
With Full Credential	55	51	50	406
Without Full Credential	0	0	0	0
Teaching Outside Subject Area of Competence	0	0	0	0

\*\*The data in this table are not available to the CDE due to delays in receiving CBEDS information. Therefore, these data must be provided by the LEA.

### Teacher Misassignments and Vacant Teacher Positions

This table displays the number of teacher misassignments (teachers assigned without proper legal authorization) and the number of vacant teacher positions (not filled by a single designated teacher)

assigned to teach the entire course at the beginning of the school year or semester). Note: Total Teacher Misassignments includes the number of Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners.

Indicator	2008-09	2009-10	2010-11
Misassignments of Teachers of English Learners	17	0	5
Total Teacher Misassignments	17	0	5
Vacant Teacher Positions	0	0	0

### Core Academic Classes Taught by No Child Left Behind Compliant Teachers (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of classes in core academic subjects taught by No Child Left Behind (NCLB) compliant and non-NCLB compliant teachers in the school, in all schools in the district, in high-poverty schools in the district, and in low-poverty schools in the district. High poverty schools are defined as those schools with student participation of approximately 75 percent or more in the free and reduced price meals program. Low poverty schools are those with student participation of approximately 25 percent or less in the free and reduced price meals program. More information on teacher qualifications required under NCLB can be found on the CDE [Improving Teacher and Principal Quality](#) Web page.

Location of Classes	Percent of Classes In Core Academic Subjects	
	Taught by NCLB Compliant Teachers	Taught by Non-NCLB Compliant Teachers
This School	99%	1%
All Schools in District	93%	7%
High-Poverty Schools in District	N/A	N/A
Low-Poverty Schools in District	93%	7%

## VI. Support Staff

### Academic Counselors and Other Support Staff (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays, in units of full-time equivalents (FTE), the number of academic counselors and other support staff who are assigned to the school and the average number of students per academic counselor. One FTE equals one staff member working full time; one FTE could also represent two staff members who each work 50 percent of full time.

Title	Number of FTE Assigned to School	Average Number of Students per Academic Counselor
Academic Counselor	2.00	693
Library Media Teacher (Librarian)	0.875	N/A
Psychologist	0.80	N/A
Social Worker	N/A	N/A
Nurse	0.154	N/A
Speech/Language/Hearing Specialist	0.80	N/A
Resource Specialist (non-teaching)	N/A	N/A
Other (Health Clerk)	0.875	N/A

Other (ASB Advisor)	0.20	N/A
---------------------	------	-----

## VII. Curriculum and Instructional Materials

### Quality, Currency, Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials (School Year 2010-11)

This table displays information about the quality, currency, and availability of the standards-aligned textbooks and other instructional materials used at the school, and information about the school's use of any supplemental curriculum or non-adopted textbooks or instructional materials.

Core Curriculum Area	Quality, Currency, and Availability of Textbooks and Instructional Materials	Percent of Pupils Who Lack Their Own Assigned Textbooks and Instructional Materials
Reading/Language Arts	Adopted 2003, Sufficient	0 %
Mathematics	Adopted 2009, Sufficient	0 %
Science	Adopted 2008, Sufficient	0 %
History-Social Science	Adopted 2007, Sufficient	0 %
Foreign Language	Adopted 2008, Sufficient	0 %

## VIII. School Finances

### Expenditures Per Pupil and School Site Teacher Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays a comparison of the school's per pupil expenditures from unrestricted (basic) sources with other schools in the district and throughout the state, and a comparison of the average teacher salary at the school site with average teacher salaries at the district and state levels. Detailed information regarding school expenditures can be found on the CDE [Current Expense of Education & Per-pupil Spending](#) Web page and teacher salaries can be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Level	Total Expenditures Per Pupil	Expenditures Per Pupil (Supplemental / Restricted)	Expenditures Per Pupil (Basic / Unrestricted)	Average Teacher Salary
School Site	\$3,887	\$242	\$3,645	\$71,491
District	N/A	N/A	\$5,227	\$69,479
Percent Difference – School Site and District	N/A	N/A	-30.26%	2.90%
State	N/A	N/A	\$5,681	\$65,399
Percent Difference – School Site and State	N/A	N/A	-35.84%	9.32%

### Types of Services Funded (Fiscal Year 2009-10)

This section provides information about the programs and supplemental services that are available at the school and funded through either categorical or other sources.

**During 2009-2010, Ramona Middle School offered both enrichment and intervention services funded through a number of sources. Before-school tutoring programs in Math, a daily Homework Help Room, an intensive Algebra intervention, Jazz Band, and Choir enrichment programs were funded through District Funds. Additionally, enrichment “mini courses”, educational field trips and assemblies, and academic competitions were funded through GATE.**

## Teacher and Administrative Salaries (Fiscal Year 2008-09)

This table displays district salaries for teachers, principals, and superintendents, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size. The table also displays teacher and administrative salaries as a percent of a district's budget, and compares these figures to the state averages for districts of the same type and size based on the salary schedule. Detailed information regarding salaries may be found on the CDE [Certificated Salaries & Benefits](#) Web page.

Category	District Amount	State Average For Districts In Same Category
Beginning Teacher Salary	\$44,456	\$41,209
Mid-Range Teacher Salary	\$66,815	\$65,228
Highest Teacher Salary	\$84,213	\$83,339
Average Principal Salary (Elementary)	\$106,262	\$103,189
Average Principal Salary (Middle)	\$109,581	\$108,789
Average Principal Salary (High)	\$122,864	\$119,247
Superintendent Salary	\$234,840	\$179,589
Percent of Budget for Teacher Salaries	41.40 %	40.70 %
Percent of Budget for Administrative Salaries	5.50 %	5.90 %

## IX. Student Performance

### Standardized Testing and Reporting Program

The Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program consists of several key components, including the California Standards Tests (CSTs); the California Modified Assessment (CMA), and the California Alternate Performance Assessment (CAPA). The CSTs show how well students are doing in relation to the state content standards. The CSTs include English-language arts (ELA) and mathematics in grades two through eleven; science in grades five, eight, and nine through eleven; and history-social science in grades eight, and ten through eleven. The CAPA includes ELA, mathematics, and science in grades two through eleven, and for science for grades five, eight, and ten. The CAPA is given to those students with significant cognitive disabilities whose disabilities prevent them from taking either the CSTs with accommodations or modifications or the CMA with accommodations. The CMA includes ELA and mathematics for grades three through eight and science in grade five and is an alternate assessment that is based on modified achievement standards. The CMA is designed to assess those students whose disabilities preclude them from achieving grade-level proficiency on an assessment of the California content standards with or without accommodations. Student scores are reported as performance levels. Detailed information regarding the STAR Program results for each grade and performance level, including the percent of students not tested, can be found on the CDE [Standardized Testing and Reporting \(STAR\) Results](#) Web site. Program information regarding the STAR Program can be found in the [Explaining 2008 STAR Program Summary Results to the Public guide](#). Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less, either because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or to protect student privacy. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results for All Students – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the percent of students achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards).

Subject	School			District			State		
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10
English-Language Arts	66	67	69	61	65	66	46	50	52
Mathematics	57	62	60	52	55	57	43	46	48
Science	74	77	81	67	71	74	46	50	54
History-Social Science	62	63	72	50	58	58	36	41	44

*Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

## Standardized Testing and Reporting Results by Student Group (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays the percent of students, by group, achieving at the Proficient or Advanced level (meeting or exceeding the state standards) for the most recent testing period.

Group	Percent of Students Scoring at Proficient or Advanced			
	English-Language Arts	Mathematics	Science	History-Social Science
African American	63	49	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Asian	77	91	10	82
Filipino	82	82	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	60	50	70	63
Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
White (not Hispanic)	78	68	90	77
Male	64	60	84	76
Female	74	61	79	68
Economically Disadvantaged	51	45	71	61
English Learners	3	32	*	*
Students with Disabilities	29	29	44	30
Students Receiving Migrant Education Services	*	*	*	*

*Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is 10 or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.*

## California Physical Fitness Test Results (School Year 2009-10)

The California Physical Fitness Test is administered to students in grades five, seven, and nine only. This table displays by grade level the percent of students meeting fitness standards for the most recent testing period. Detailed information regarding this test, and comparisons of a school's test results to the district and state levels, may be found on the CDE [Physical Fitness Testing \(PFT\)](#) Web page. Note: Scores are not shown when the number of students tested is ten or less because the number of students in this category is too small for statistical accuracy or privacy protection. In no case shall any group score be reported that would deliberately or inadvertently make public the score or performance of any individual student.

Grade Level	Percent of Students Meeting Healthy Fitness Zones		
	Four of Six Standards	Five of Six Standards	Six of Six Standards
5	*	*	*
7	Not Available	Not Available	Not Available
9	*	*	*

## X. Accountability

### Academic Performance Index

The Academic Performance Index (API) is an annual measure of the academic performance and progress of schools in California. API scores range from 200 to 1,000, with a statewide target of 800. Detailed information about the API can be found on the CDE [Academic Performance Index \(API\)](#) Web page.

#### Academic Performance Index Ranks – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays the school's statewide and similar schools API ranks. The statewide API rank ranges from one to ten. A statewide rank of one means that the school has an API score in the lowest ten percent of all schools in the state, while a statewide rank of ten means that the school has an API score in the highest ten percent of all schools in the state. The similar schools API rank reflects how a school compares to 100 statistically matched "similar schools." A similar schools rank of one means that the school's academic performance is comparable to the lowest performing ten schools of the 100 similar schools, while a similar schools rank of ten means that the school's academic performance is better than at least 90 of the 100 similar schools.

API Rank	2007	2008	2009
Statewide	8	9	9
Similar Schools	5	6	7

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"B" means this is either an LEA or an Alternative Schools Accountability Model (ASAM) school. Schools participating in the ASAM do not currently receive growth, target information, or statewide or similar schools rankings on this report in recognition of their markedly different educational missions and populations served. ASAM schools are covered under the Alternative Accountability system as required by Education Code Section 52052 and not the API accountability system. However, API information is needed to comply with the federal No Child Left Behind (NCLB) law. Growth, target and rank information are not applicable to LEAs.

"C" means this is a special education school. Statewide and similar schools ranks are not applicable to special education schools.

" \* " means this API is calculated for a small school or a small LEA, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid STAR Program test scores included in the API. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted. Similar schools ranks are not calculated for small schools.

## Academic Performance Index Growth by Student Group – Three-Year Comparison

This table displays, by student group, the actual API changes in points added or lost for the past three years, and the most recent API score. Note: "N/A" means that the student group is not numerically significant.

Group	Actual API Change			Growth API Score
	2007-08	2008-09	2009-10	2010
All Students at the School	24	5	9	852
African American	*	*	*	*
American Indian or Alaska Native	*	*	*	*
Asian	*	*	*	*
Filipino	*	*	*	*
Hispanic or Latino	35	2	8	795
Pacific Islander	*	*	*	*
White (not Hispanic)	20	12	7	883
Socioeconomically Disadvantaged	33	1	9	767
English Learners	*	*	*	*
Students with Disabilities	34	13	34	573

"N/A" means a number is not applicable or not available due to missing data.

"\*\*" means this API is calculated for a small school, defined as having between 11 and 99 valid Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program test scores included in the API. The API is asterisked if the school was small either in 2008 or 2009. APIs based on small numbers of students are less reliable and therefore should be carefully interpreted.

## Adequate Yearly Progress

The federal NCLB Act requires that all schools and districts meet the following Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) criteria:

- Participation rate on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
- Percent proficient on the state's standards-based assessments in ELA and mathematics
  - API as an additional indicator
  - Graduation rate (for secondary schools)

Detailed information about AYP, including participation rates and percent proficient results by student group, can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\) Web page](#).

## Adequate Yearly Progress Overall and by Criteria (School Year 2009-10)

This table displays an indication of whether the school and the district made AYP overall and whether the school and the district met each of the AYP criteria.

AYP Criteria	School	District
Overall	No	No
Participation Rate - English-Language Arts	Yes	Yes
Participation Rate - Mathematics	Yes	Yes

Percent Proficient - English-Language Arts	Yes	No
Percent Proficient - Mathematics	No	No
API	Yes	Yes
Graduation Rate	N/A	Yes

"Yes" Met 2010 AYP Criteria

"No" Did not Meet 2010 AYP Criteria

## Federal Intervention Program (School Year 2010-11)

Schools and districts receiving federal Title I funding enter Program Improvement (PI) if they do not make AYP for two consecutive years in the same content area (ELA or mathematics) or on the same indicator (API or graduation rate). After entering PI, schools and districts advance to the next level of intervention with each additional year that they do not make AYP. Detailed information about PI identification can be found on the CDE [Adequate Yearly Progress \(AYP\)](#) Web page.

Indicator	School	District
Program Improvement Status	Not In PI	Not In PI
First Year of Program Improvement		
Year in Program Improvement		
Number of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	N/A
Percent of Schools Currently in Program Improvement	N/A	N/A

## XI. School Completion and Postsecondary Preparation

### Admission Requirements for California Public Universities

#### University of California

Admission requirements for the University of California (UC) follow guidelines set forth in the Master Plan, which requires that the top one-eighth of the state's high school graduates, as well as those transfer students who have successfully completed specified college work, be eligible for admission to the UC. These requirements are designed to ensure that all eligible students are adequately prepared for University-level work. For general admissions requirements please visit the [General Admissions Information](#) Web page (Outside Source).

#### California State University

Admission requirements for the California State University (CSU) use three factors to determine eligibility. They are specific high school courses; grades in specified courses and test scores; and graduation from high school. Some campuses have higher standards for particular majors or students who live outside the local campus area. Because of the number of students who apply, a few campuses have higher standards (supplementary admission criteria) for all applicants. Most CSU campuses utilize local admission guarantee policies for students who graduate or transfer from high schools and colleges that are historically served by a CSU campus in that region. For general admissions requirements please visit the [Undergraduate Admission & Requirements](#) Web page (Outside Source).

### Dropout Rate and Graduation Rate

This table displays the school's one-year dropout and graduation rates for the most recent three-year period for which data are available. For comparison purposes, data are also provided at the district and

state levels. Detailed information about dropout rates and graduation rates can be found on the CDE [DataQuest](#) Web page.

Indicator	School			District			State		
	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09	2006-07	2007-08	2008-09
Dropout Rate (1-year)	N/A	N/A	N/A	1.2	1.8	1.5	4.4	3.9	5.7
Graduation Rate	N/A	N/A	N/A	96.2	96.3	94.5	80.6	80.2	78.6

## XII. Instructional Planning and Scheduling

### Professional Development

This section provides information on the annual number of school days dedicated to staff development for the most recent three-year period.

**Teachers participate in a variety of staff development and collaboration opportunities, including monthly staff meetings, bi-monthly Compact Day meetings, and three all-day Buy-Back Day training sessions. Teachers also regularly attend trainings and seminars in a variety of instructionally related topics off campus. Training has focused mainly on research and use of highly effective instructional strategies, brain-research compatible instruction, and use of technology to support instruction and classroom management. The primary focus of all trainings and meetings is on improving student achievement.**

## XIII. National Assessment of Educational Progress

### National Assessment of Educational Progress

The National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) is a nationally representative assessment of what America's students know and can do in various subject areas. Assessments are conducted periodically in mathematics, reading, science, writing, the arts, civics, economics, geography, and U.S. history. Student scores for reading and mathematics are reported as performance levels (i.e., basic, proficient, and advanced) and the participation of students with disabilities and English language learners is reported based on three levels (identified, excluded, and assessed). Detailed information regarding the NAEP results for each grade, performance level, and participation rate can be found on the [National Assessment of Educational Progress](#) Web page (Outside Source).

Note: Only a sample group of California's schools and districts participate in the NAEP testing cycle. Therefore, students in any particular school or district may not be included in these results. The NAEP reflects state test results and is not reflective of either the LEA or the individual school. Comparisons of student performance on the NAEP and student performance on the Standardized Testing and Reporting (STAR) Program assessments cannot be made without an understanding of the key differences between the two assessment programs. For example, the NAEP only assesses grades four, eight and twelve and for long-term trends assesses grades nine, thirteen, and seventeen. Additionally, the NAEP only provides state test results for grades four and eight. The California Standards Tests (CSTs) are based on a different set of standards than the NAEP assessments. For example, the NAEP is not aligned with California academic content and achievement standards and, therefore, does not necessarily reflect the curriculum and instruction to which students are exposed in the classroom. The NAEP assesses reading and writing separately, while the CSTs assess English-language arts (ELA), encompassing reading as well as writing conventions, spelling, and grammar. Scores on the CSTs and other assessments are not directly comparable to those on NAEP. The averages and percentages presented are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Finally, the questions students respond to are only a sample of the knowledge and skills covered by the NAEP frameworks. Information on the differences between NAEP and CST can be found on the CDE [National Assessment of Educational Progress \(NAEP\)](#) Web page.

## National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the scale scores and achievement levels on the National Assessment of Educational Progress Results for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for grades four and eight

Subject and Grade Level	Average Scale Score		State Percent at Achievement Level		
	State	National	Basic	Proficient	Advanced
Reading 2009, Grade 4	210	220	30	18	5
Reading 2009, Grade 8	253	262	41	20	2
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	232	239	41	25	5
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	270	282	36	18	5

## National Assessment of Educational Progress Reading and Mathematics Results for Students with Disabilities and/or English Language Learners by Grade Level – Aggregated

This table displays the state and national participation rates on the National Assessment of Educational Progress for reading (2007) and mathematics (2009) for students with disabilities and/or English language learners for grades four and eight.

Subject and Grade Level	State Participation Rate		National Participation Rate	
	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners	Students With Disabilities	English Language Learners
Reading 2009, Grade 4	73	95	71	84
Reading 2009 Grade 8	81	95	72	84
Mathematics 2009, Grade 4	81	95	72	83
Mathematics 2009, Grade 8	85	96	78	92